I. Local Planning Bodies

The following individuals have participated in the development of the Self-Assessment and the System Improvement Plan (SIP) or will have a role in fulfilling the SIP. The Lake Tahoe Collaborative is the only formal planning body that had input into the Self-Assessment. Other groups were brought together for the purpose of providing input into the Self-Assessment.

Name	Agency
Lisa Aikenhead	New Morning Youth & Family Services
Christine Amey	El Dorado County Department of Human Services
Suzanne Ballen	El Dorado County Department of Human Services
Kathy Brook-Johnson	El Dorado County Department of Human Services
Wendy David	Lake Tahoe Collaborative
Cheree Haffner	El Dorado County Mental Health Department
Mary Hill-Hughes	El Dorado County Department of Human Services
Gary Hudgeons	El Dorado County Probation
Reuben Jessop	Community Member
Ben Kinser	El Dorado County Probation
Debbie McCartney	New Morning Youth & Family Services
Moirhian Martin	El Dorado County Department of Human Services
Kathy Matranga-Cooper	El Dorado County Department of Human Services
Patty Moley-Dunn	El Dorado County Department of Human Services
Greg Sly	El Dorado County Probation
Nikki Thomas	El Dorado County Department of Human Services
Jan Walker-Conroy	El Dorado County Department of Human Services
Annie Wilson	Community Member

II. Findings that Support Qualitative Change

We did not initiate any formal surveys to assess client satisfaction; however, it is anticipated we will utilize surveys when completing future assessments. We relied, almost exclusively, upon data retrieved from the Child Welfare Services/ Case Management System (CWS/CMS), the California Child Welfare Services Outcome & Accountability County Data quarterly reports, and/or other data obtained from the Internet. Community concerns and input were obtained at

collaborative meetings and used in preparing the Self-Assessment. All the data acquired, whether quantitative or qualitative, was used to determine which outcome indicators to address in this initial SIP.

Factors which played a major role influencing the results of our outcomes include:

- Inconsistent definition of "referral";
- Organizational structure;
- Inadequate supervisory oversight;
- Lack of consistent practice;
- Lack of knowledge of resources to develop, disseminate, or compile survey;
 and
- Lack of outreach efforts to families/consumers.

III. Summary Assessment of the Self-Assessment

A. Discussion of System Strengths and Areas Needing Improvement

1. Children are, first and foremost, protected from abuse and neglect.

Some concerning trends, such as the increase in referrals in the South Lake Tahoe region and the decrease in referrals received by the Placerville Office, require further internal analysis. This may be due to a lack of clear definition of what meets the criteria of an assigned referral and inconsistency in practice.

2. Children are maintained safely in their homes whenever possible and appropriate.

Our social workers work with many families providing voluntary family maintenance services as an alternative to out-of-home placement. Our ability to provide family maintenance services is partially credited for maintaining children safely in their homes.

The need for the development and utilization of a comprehensive tracking system is critical to determining whether or not services offered were, in fact, effective in maintaining children in their homes and supporting families.

3. Children have permanency and stability in their living situations without increasing reentry to foster care.

The El Dorado County rates in permanency and stability exceed those of California. We have recently taken some progressive steps to achieve permanency and stability, while reducing the number of children re-entering foster care as well as identifying key areas for improvement. These include:

- Increasing the quality of concurrent planning by holding regular staffings and developing a format to discuss probability of reunification with supervisory review/participation.
- Setting goals for adoption social workers to finalize their cases within six months of the .26 hearing and to make placement with concurrent planning families prior to the .26 hearing.
- Mandatory implementation of the full utilization of SDM with all court cases to assure consistency in decision-making.
- Continuing foster home recruitment in South Lake Tahoe.
- Implementing a family-focused case conferencing model in conjunction with multi-disciplinary teams (e.g. Child Resource Team [CRT], etc.).
- Developing a diagnostic tool/plan to analyze information on children who re-enter the foster care system.
- Developing, with community partners, an aftercare plan for all clients whose dependency is being dismissed.
- Exploring placing an adoption worker in South Lake Tahoe.

4. The family relationships and connections of the children served by the CWS will be preserved, as appropriate.

El Dorado County has experienced challenges trying to place sibling groups together; however even when siblings are separated, family relationships can be supported by encouraging sibling visits. Solutions to these challenges may include:

- Implementing a family-focused case conferencing model, which will address the issue of maintaining family relationships and connections.
- Focusing on resource family recruitment and retention, particularly for the South Lake Tahoe region. This will enable children to be placed closer to their families, as well as with their siblings.

8. Youth emancipating from foster care are prepared to transition to adulthood.

El Dorado County is continuing to make efforts to prepare foster care youth to transition into adulthood. Specifically, collaboration with community colleges to deliver independent living skills training plus networking with local businesses to provide opportunities for the youth to learn soft job skills are examples of our efforts; however, historically these efforts have been more focused in Placerville. A strategic plan specifically geared toward assisting all emancipating youth to achieve independence is needed, including assistance with housing, job training, and obtaining health care coverage. This plan shall be comprehensive and countywide in its service delivery. Some of the above can be achieved through developing improved relationships with our community partners and securing grant funding to offer services to this population.

B. Areas for Further Exploration through the PQCR

Areas of concern, which have already been identified in the body of this report, are addressed in the Self-Improvement Plan (SIP) Plan Components and will be of primary focus when our county participates in the Peer Quality Case Review (PQCR).

IV. SIP Plan Components

Outcome/Systemic Factor:

2A: Recurrence of abuse/neglect in homes where children were not removed

County's Current Performance:

At the time the Self-Assessment was completed, our performance was 9.0% while the state average was 9.5%. Since then, the updated Outcome & Accountability County Data Report has been received, which indicates our performance is 7.5%. Although our average is better than the state, one of the areas of concern is the lack of a tracking system to determine if services provided to at-risk families are adequate and/or effective in reducing the recurrence of abuse/neglect.

Improvement Goal 1.0

Reduce the recurrence of abuse/neglect in homes where children were not removed.

Wor	tegy 1. 1 k with staff and service providers to develop a means of capture on the services offered/provided to at-risk families.	uring	Strategy Rationale There is no easily access detailed data on families v services offered/received.	where ch	ans of obtaining specific, nildren were not removed but
one	1.1.1 Research possible tracking systems such as utilizing CWS/CMS Special Projects field, an external data base (e.g. Excel, etc.), or a combination of two and select an appropriate system.	ame	1 month (11/1/04)	ed to	Department of Human Services (DHS) Analyst
Milestone	1.1.2 Meet with service providers to determine what information can be tracked and how this can be accomplished.	Timeframe	2 months (12/1/04)	Assigne	DHS Analyst
	1.1.3 Develop written agreements with service providers to establish roles in the data collection and tracking.		4 months (2/1/05)		DHS Analyst
E (1.1.4	i= :		4	
<u>Z</u>	1.1.4 Develop written desk guidelines for staff to address how to	; ;	2 months (12/1/04)	∢ ;	DHS Analyst

consistently investigate risk factors and input information regarding services offered/provided into CWS/CMS. Guidelines will emphasize the importance of documenting this information and clearly identify how this information is used if subsequent referrals are received on families.		
1.1.5 Train staff and service providers on new procedure.	2 months (12/1/04)	Child Protective Services (CPS) Program Managers and Supervisors
1.1.6 Input services offered/delivered by the service provider into the CWS/CMS Delivered Service Log.	2 months (12/1/04)	Social Workers
1.1.7 Follow-up with service providers prior to closing referrals to confirm services are being provided.	2 months (12/1/04)	Social Workers
1.1.8 Monitor social worker compliance at referral closure.	2 months (12/1/04)	CPS Supervisors
1.1.9 Develop and distribute periodic surveys for parents/children/providers with relevant data elements.	6 months (3/30/05)	DHS Analyst

Strategy 1. 2	Strategy Rationale
Assigned social workers will staff, all new referrals which	Families with multiple referrals may have issues for which they have not

cour	rses of action with their supervisors and appropriate	may he		ın effe	ti-disciplinary team (MDT) staffing ctive courses of action which
	1.2.1 Meet with staff and service providers to explain review process.		1 month (11/1/04)	to	CPS Program Managers and DHS Analyst
tone	1.2.2 Develop guidelines with parameters for reviewing referral	rame	2 months (12/1/04)	ned t	DHS Analyst
Milestone	1.2.3 Train staff and service providers on procedure.	Timef	2 months (12/1/04)	ssign	CPS Program Managers and Supervisors
	1.2.4 Staff all new referrals, which have prior interventions, with appropriate service providers and supervisor.	1	2 months (12/1/04)	۷	Social Workers

Stra	tegy 1.3	Strategy Ra				
		While this in	nforma	ation is available for review	ı, inte	rnal analysis has not
Soci	al workers and supervisors will review and track SDM	occurred. A	nalyz	ing common characteristic	s in h	nigh-risk families will
safe	ty assessment and risk assessment tools in an attempt to	provide the	inforn	nation needed to more efficient	ciently	y provide appropriate
iden	tify common characteristics in high-risk families.	intervention	s and	services thus, decreasing	recur	rrence of abuse.
	1.3.1			1 month (11/1/04)		Social Workers
	Complete SDM safety assessment and risk assessment to	ools.		1 month (11/1/04)		Social Workers
a	1.3.2		e		to	
Ö	Review and track safety assessment and risk assessment	data to	ац	1 month (11/1/04)	ed	CPS Supervisors
Milesto	determine trends.		əfr		g	
I≝	1.3.3		Ĕ		sié	
2	Explore the feasibility of purchasing Safe Measures or Co	unty Access	F	2 months (1/1/05)	As	CPS Program
	to Data (CAD) IQ to enable supervisors and program man	agers to		3 months (1/1/05)		Managers
	easily identify and track trends for improved intervention s	trategies.				

Describe systemic changes needed to further support the improvement goal.

Achieving this goal will require strong collaboration between the county and service providers, broader community involvement, and

shared responsibility for the protection of children, including the compensation for services. Changes to the current constraints regarding confidentiality issues will need to be made to allow an appropriate exchange of information between service providers and CPS/Probation.

Describe educational/training needs (including technical assistance) to achieve the improvement goals.

To be identified.

Identify roles of the other partners in achieving the improvement goals.

Service providers will track and report progress of at-risk families who have been referred to them by CPS/Probation. Service providers must also be willing to participate in staffing cases to help determine impact of previous services provided.

Identify any regulatory or statutory changes needed to support the accomplishment of the improvement goals.

Changes in confidentiality laws such as broadening the use of Title IV-E funds so that preventive services can be reimbursed to local CWS and Probation Offices.

Outcome/Systemic Factor:

2B: Child Abuse/Neglect Referrals with a Timely Response

County's Current Performance:

At the time the Self-Assessment was completed, our performance was 90.9% for immediate response compliance and 97.9% for 10-day response compliance. Since then, the updated Outcome & Accountability County Data Report has been received, which indicates our immediate response compliance is 93.9% and 96.2% for 10-day response compliance. While our rates are equal to or better than the state rates, we feel this is a critical area which needs improvement.

Improvement Goal 1.0

Increase the percent of timely responses to child abuse/neglect referrals to 100%.

Strategy 1.1	Strategy Rationale
Develop and communicate a policy regarding which date to use as	There has been inconsistency in determining the report date.

the '	freport date" when entering information into CWS/CI	MS.	The department needs to information to staff.	larify a	nd communicate this
-stone	1.1.1 Write a policy instructing staff which date to use as the report date and where to enter it into CWS/CMS.	ame	1 month (11/1/04)	ed to	DHS Analyst
Mile-st	1.1.2 Advise of policy and train staff on procedure.	mefr	1 month (11/1/04)	sign	CPS Supervisors
Σ	1.1.3 Consistently input into CWS/CMS appropriate report date.	 	1 month (11/1/04)	As	Social Workers and CPS Clerical Staff

	itor the designation of referral response times.			Strategy Rationale Monitoring the designation o consistency and allow for tim necessary.		
Φ	1.2.1 . Route all referrals to be investigated to the supervisor.	9	Immedia	ately (10/1/04)	to	Social Workers
Milestone	1.2.2 Review all referrals to be investigated to ensure consistency in assigned response times.	mefram	Immedia	ately (10/1/04)	signed	CPS Supervisors
2	1.2.3 Provide feedback to social workers and training, as needed.	F	Immedia	ately (10/1/04)	As	CPS Supervisors

Strategy 1.3	Strategy Rationale
Monitor social worker response time on all referrals.	Consistent monitoring of the time taken to respond to referrals

			will ensure compliance and a necessary.	allow 1	for timely corrective action, if
	1.3.1 Run appropriate Business Objects reports monthly.		1 month (11/1/04)		CWS/CMS Coordinator
one	1.3.2 Review Business Objects reports identifying individual social worker response times monthly.	ame	1 month (11/1/04)	ed to	CPS Program Manager and Supervisors
Milestone	1.3.3 Provide monthly feedback to social workers based on the Business Objects reports and include as standard of performance evaluations.	Timefra	1 month (11/1/04)	Assigned	CPS Supervisors
	1.3.4 Make appropriate adjustments in response time based upon monthly feedback.		6 weeks (11/15/04)		Social Workers

Stra	tegy 1.4		Strategy Rationale	_	
Con	tinue to deploy clerical staff to assist in information in	nput.	Clerical assistance will in timeliness of data input.	nprove bo	oth the accuracy and
	1.4.1 . Prepare appropriate resource material for clerical staff.		1 month (11/1/04)		CWS/CMS Coordinator
Milestone	1.4.2 Train clerical staff on inputting data/referrals into CWS/CMS.	rame	2 months (12/1/04)	ned to	CWS/CMS Coordinator
Miles	1.4.3 Assist with inputting referral information into CWS/CMS.	Timef	3 months (1/1/05)	Assign	CPS Clerical Staff
	1.4.4 Review clerical staff performance to ensure consistency and provide training as needed.		3 months (1/1/05)		CPS Clerical Supervisors and CPS Supervisors

inputting the information into the CWS/CMS system. Monitoring and training are required to obtain consistency.

Describe educational/training needs (including technical assistance) to achieve the improvement goals.

Our CWS/CMS coordinator will provide mandatory training to all emergency response staff and appropriate clerical staff.

Identify roles of the other partners in achieving the improvement goals.

This is an internal agency matter and thus, no involvement of other partners is required.

Identify any regulatory or statutory changes needed to support the accomplishment of the improvement goals. None

Outcome/Systemic Factor:

3G: Foster Care Re-Entry

County's Current Performance:

At the time the Self-Assessment was completed, our performance was 21.9%. Since then, the updated Outcome & Accountability County Data Report indicates our performance has improved. Approximately 17.6% of children re-enter the foster care system in our county, compared to the statewide average of 13.3%.

Improvement Goal 1.0

Reduce the rate of children who re-enter foster care within 12 months of reunification.

Strategy 1.1Ensure social workers use SDM tools consistently and accurately during the life of a court dependency case.

Strategy Rationale

SDM tools have been inconsistently applied for dependency cases.

	1.1.1 Provide staff with additional training regarding the correct use of SDM.		2 months (12/1/04)		CPS Supervisors
Milestone	1.1.2 Train all CPS supervisors in court reporting standards.	eframe	2 months (12/1/04)	gned to	CPS Program Managers
Mile	1.1.3 Review and sign all court reports prepared by social workers to ensure appropriate inclusion of SDM tools at key decision-making points of the case.	Time	3 months (1/1/05)	Assi	CPS Supervisors

one	1.1.4 Provide social workers with feedback and instruction for use of SDM tools.	ame	3 months (1/1/05)	ed to	CPS Supervisors
Milest	1.1.5 Periodically perform internal audits in the ongoing unit to determine consistent and accurate use of SDM tools for court-dependent cases.	Timefr	6 months (4/1/05)	Assigne	CPS Program Managers and Supervisors

	tegy 1.2			Strategy Rationale				
Ensure case plans effectively/adequately address SDM ris			afety	Case plans have not consistently reflected specific				
factors and directly focus on clients' needs.			needs/treatment goals for ac			luit clients.		
	1.2.1 Prior to developing client case plans, identify at least three key risk/safety factors as service objectives by utilizing the family strengths and needs assessment in SDM.		1 month	(11/1/04)		Social Workers		
Milestone	1.2.2 Include in all case plans specific intervention strategies and services, as identified through the SDM assessment.	Timetrame 1 mg	1 month	(11/1/04)	Assigned to	Social Workers		
Mil	1.2.3 Review case plans to ensure intervention strategies and services are included, as identified through the SDM assessment.	1 month		(11/1/04)	Assi	CPS Supervisors		
	1.2.4 Monitor clients' compliance with case plans by documenting all client activities/participation in CWS/CMS.			(11/1/04)		Social Workers		

Strategy 1.3	Strategy Rationale

Review previous services offered/provided to determine effectiveness.		Reviewing clients' histories will allow staff to consider the effectiveness of previous services. This information, in conjunction with current assessment and case consultation with the MDT, can be used to create appropriate service plans.				
	1.3.1 Meet with service providers to discuss proposed review process and determine how this can be accomplished.			1 month (11/1/04)	gned to	CPS Program Manager and DHS Analyst
Ф	1.3.2 Develop a written procedure with parameters for reviewing cases.			2 months (12/1/04)		DHS Analyst
Milestone	1.3.3 Train staff and service providers on procedure.			3 months (1/1/05)		CPS/Probation Program Managers and Supervisors
Mile	1.3.4 Staff all new cases, within the first month of detention, where children have previously been placed in out-of-home care. Utilize existing MDT teams and call for MDT staffing, when necessary. Staffing team may include assigned social worker, supervisor, program manager, and other public/private agencies as necessary.		Ë	3 months (1/1/05)	Assi	Social Workers & Probation Officers

Stra	tegy 1.4		Strategy Rationale					
Collaborate with community partners on the development of an aftercare plan for all cases in which dependency is being dismiss			informally follow families services and support r	An aftercare plan would allow community partners to informally follow families to ensure they receive appropriate services and support necessary to maintain successful reunification with their children.				
	1.4.1 Meet with service providers to develop a referral sy	/stem.	4 months (2/1/05)		CPS Program Manager and Analyst			
Milestone	1.4.2 Develop a written referral procedure for staff and agreements with service providers.	eframe		gned to	DHS Analyst			
Mile	1.4.3 Train staff and service providers on procedure.	Ţ	6 months (4/1/05)	Assign	CPS Supervisors			
	1.4.4 Implement procedure.		6 months (4/1/05)		Social Workers			
Stra	tegy 1.5 Str	rategy Rat	Rationale					

Implement a family-focused case planning model.		Family-focused planning is an evidenced-based practice. Involvement of parents, the family's support system, and youth in case planning is a proven method of reducing re-entry into foster care.				
	1.5.1 Research available family-focused case conferencing models.			2 months (12/1/04)		CPS Program Manager
	1.5.2 Select appropriate model and obtain input regarding feasibility of implementation.			2 months (12/1/04)	ned to	CPS Program Manager
Milestone	1.5.3 Implement family-focused case planning model pilot project on a small-scale basis.			3 months (1/1/05)		CPS Program Manager and Supervisor
Mile	1.5.4 Track data and report on progress of pilot project.		Timefi	6 months (4/1/05)	Assig	DHS Analyst
	1.5.5 Train all staff on family-focused case planning implement county-wide.	model and	del and			Program Managers and CPS Supervisors
	1.5.6 Track data and report on progress of project.			8 months (6/1/05)		DHS Analyst

Describe systemic changes needed to further support the improvement goal.

A lack of post-placement services is one of the barriers/gaps identified in services to help children safely and appropriately remain with their families. Ensuring staff are accurately utilizing available assessment tools and analyzing the impact of previous services provided is also required to achieve this goal. Changes to the current constraints regarding confidentiality issues will need to be made to allow an exchange of appropriate information between service providers and CPS/Probation.

Describe educational/training needs (including technical assistance) to achieve the improvement goals.

Training on a selected family-focused case conferencing model, court reporting standards, and additional SDM training.

Identify roles of the other partners in achieving the improvement goals.

Community partners must be willing to commit to supporting an aftercare plan. Service providers will track and report on the status of families who have been referred to them by CPS/Probation for aftercare. Service providers must also be willing to participate in staffing cases to help determine impact of previous services provided.

Identify any regulatory or statutory changes needed to support the accomplishment of the improvement goals.

Improved confidentiality laws to support greater ease of the exchange of appropriate information between service providers and county agencies. Flexible funding opportunities to support the increased role of community-based organizations in aftercare services.